Coexpression of MmpS5 and MmpL5 Contributes to Both Efflux Transporter MmpL5 Trimerization and Drug Resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*
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**ABSTRACT** The increasing occurrence of multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*) is a serious threat to global public health. Among the many mechanisms of drug resistance, only resistance-nodulation-division (RND)-type multidrug efflux systems can simultaneously render bacteria tolerant to numerous toxic compounds, including antibiotics. The elevated expression of RND-type xenobiotic efflux transporter complexes, which consist of an inner membrane transporter, membrane fusion protein, and outer membrane channel, plays a major role in multidrug resistance. Among the 14 mycobacterial membrane protein large (MmpL) proteins identified as inner membrane transporters of *Mtb*, MmpL5 is known to participate in the acquisition of resistance to bedaquiline and clofazimine. MmpL5 exports these drugs by forming a complex with the membrane fusion protein mycobacterial membrane protein small 5 (MmpS5). However, the role of MmpS5 in the efflux of antituberculous drugs by MmpL5 remains unclear. In this study, we focused on the *in vivo* dynamics of MmpL5 using green fluorescent protein (GFP). Single-molecule observations of MmpL5 showed substantial lateral displacements of MmpL5-GFP without the expression of MmpS5. Nondiffusing MmpL5-GFP foci typically showed three-step photobleaching, suggesting that MmpL5 formed a homotrimERIC functional complex on the inner membrane in the presence of MmpS5. These results suggest that the expression of MmpS5 facilitates the assembly of monomeric MmpL5 into a homotrimer that is anchored to the inner membrane to transport various antitybactericidal drugs.

**IMPORTANCE** It has been reported that mycobacterial membrane protein large 5 (MmpL5), a resistance-nodulation-division (RND)-type inner membrane transporter in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*Mtb*), is involved in the transport of antitybactericidal drugs. However, the functional roles of the membrane fusion protein mycobacterial membrane protein small 5 (MmpS5), organized as an operon with MmpL5, are unclear. Via the single-molecule imaging of MmpL5, we uncovered the maintenance of the functional trimeric complex structure of MmpL5 in the presence of MmpS5. These findings demonstrate that the assembly mechanisms of mycobacterial RND efflux systems are the dynamically regulated process through interactions among the components. This represents the first report of the single-molecule observation of *Mtb* efflux transporters, which may enhance our understanding of innate antibiotic resistance.
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multidrug-resistant \textit{Mtb} is a serious health threat. Mycobacteria display passive resistance to harmful compounds, including various antibiotics via their heavy and impermeable cell wall termed the mycomembrane, which has similar functions as the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria (2, 3). For convenience, the mycomembrane and the space between it and the inner membrane will be henceforth referred to as the “outer membrane” and the “periplasmic space,” respectively. Most bacteria can acquire antibiotic resistance through active xenobiotic efflux transporters (4). These efflux systems have been classified into five major families based on the similarities of the components, structures, and mechanisms, including energy sources (5). In many Gram-negative bacteria, multidrug resistance is related to the elevated expression of resistance-nodulation-division (RND)-type xenobiotic efflux transporter complexes, which comprise an inner membrane transporter, a membrane fusion protein, and an outer membrane protein (6, 7). As an example of such complexes, the AcrB-AcrA-TolC complex in \textit{Escherichia coli} has been extensively studied (8). The inner membrane transporter AcrB can capture a wide variety of substrates, including antibiotics, detergents, and other toxic compounds in the periplasmic space and transport them from the cell via the outer membrane protein TolC and membrane fusion protein AcrA using proton motive force (9, 10).

In \textit{Mtb}, 14 genes encoding putative RND-type inner membrane transporters termed mycobacterial membrane protein large (MmpL) genes are involved in metabolic functions, such as siderophore secretions or lipid synthesis, and the transport of various bioactive or harmful substances as well as virulence (11–15). These transporters are predicted to have 12-transmembrane (TM) domains, and their C-terminal residues are located in the cytoplasm. For five of these RND-type transporters, MmpL function requires its cognate mycobacterial membrane protein small (MmpS) protein, which exhibits similarity to RND-type membrane fusion proteins. The genes encoding cognate pairs of MmpLs and MmpSs are organized into operons (16). Thus, it is reasonable to assume that these MmpL-MmpS pairs, like AcrB and AcrA of \textit{E. coli}, constitute RND-type efflux systems. However, the third component is missing, as no outer membrane protein that can serve as a channel in a manner similar to that of TolC in \textit{E. coli} has been identified thus far (17). A putative efflux system comprising MmpS5 and MmpL5 contributes to resistance to antimycobacterial drugs, including bedaquiline (BDQ) and clofazimine (CFZ) (18), and to siderophore export (19). Both genes are controlled by a MarR-like transcriptional regulator, which is encoded by the gene Rv0678 located upstream of \textit{mmpS5} (20). A mutation in Rv0678, which increases the expression of both \textit{mmpS5} and \textit{mmpL5}, leads to increased resistance to antimycobacterial drugs (15, 21, 22). Crystallographic analysis of MmpL3 of \textit{Mycolicibacterium smegmatis}, which is implicated in trehalose monomycolate transport, helped clarify the function of MmpL, including a route through which substrates would follow (23). In the AcrA-AcrB-ToIC efflux system of \textit{E. coli}, it is believed that AcrA stabilizes the interaction between AcrB and ToIC (24). The roles of MmpS have been only partially established in mycobacteria. For example, it has been reported that MmpS4 of \textit{M. smegmatis} acts as a scaffold for the biosynthesis and transport of glycopeptidolipids (25). It is apparent that MmpS of \textit{Mtb} is also a significant component of the RND-type efflux system (26). However, its actual role in drug resistance has not been established.

On the basis of analyses of RND-type efflux pumps in \textit{E. coli}, we hypothesized that MmpS5 facilitates the assembly of MmpL5 into an efflux pump complex with another as yet unidentified component, i.e., an outer membrane channel. We visualized the MmpL5 dynamics of \textit{Mtb} by tagging MmpL5 with green fluorescent protein (GFP) in \textit{Mycobacterium bovis} BCG (\textit{mmpL5}, \textit{mmpS5}, and the transcriptional regulator gene are nearly identical between \textit{Mtb} and \textit{M. bovis} BCG, with identities of 99.8, 100, and 100% at the amino acid level, respectively; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Consistent with our hypothesis, the study results suggested that the expression of MmpS5 facilitates the trimerization of MmpL5 and anchors the trimeric MmpL5 to the peptidoglycan layer or an unknown protein in the outer membrane.
**RESULTS**

**The inner membrane transporter MmpL5 requires its cognate membrane fusion protein MmpS5 to confer resistance to multiple antmycobacterial drugs.** To examine the effect of MmpS5 on MmpL5 activity in *Mtb*, we constructed an *M. bovis* BCG strain (NNB001) in which their homolog genes were deleted (ΔBCG_0727::mmpS5). We also constructed integrative plasmids encoding GFP-fused MmpL5 and nonfused full-length MmpL5 with or without MmpS5. NNB001 cells were transformed with these plasmids, and the resulting cells expressed MmpL5-GFP under the control of the hsp60 promoter in the vector. The MICs of BDQ, CFZ, and clarithromycin (CLR) in each strain were determined in the presence or absence of MmpS5 (Table 1). The strain expressing MmpL5 with MmpS5 exhibited substantially higher MICs for BDQ (32-fold) and CFZ (4-fold), but not for CLR, than the strain carrying the empty vector. In contrast, MmpL5 had no effect on the MICs of BDQ and CFZ in the absence of MmpS5. Furthermore, the MmpL5-GFP protein retained almost full activity with MmpS5 as judged by the MICs. Consistent with this, immunoblotting using anti-GFP antibody detected no visible degradation product (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material).

**MmpS5 appears to restrict the lateral diffusion of MmpL5 in the inner membrane.** To examine the effect of MmpS5 on the behavior of MmpL5-GFP foci in the inner membrane of mycobacteria, single-molecule observation was conducted using total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy (Fig. 1 and 2). MmpL5-GFP foci underwent extremely limited lateral displacement in the presence of MmpS5, whereas they diffused laterally throughout the inner membrane in the absence of MmpS5 (Fig. 1A; see also Movies S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). The x-y trajectories of MmpL5-GFP foci in these backgrounds indicate that MmpL5 diffuses randomly along the membrane (Fig. 1B). The range of movement of MmpL5-GFP fluorescent foci was expressed as the two-dimensional mean square displacement (MSD) of individual foci. In the presence of MmpS5, MmpL5-GFP movement was restricted, and the calculated MSDs of the foci at 330 ms were distributed in the range of 0.08 × 10^-2 to 0.18 × 10^-2 μm^2 with a mean value of 0.13 × 10^-2 ± 0.03 × 10^-2 μm^2 (Fig. 2A and B, blue lines and bars). In the absence of MmpS5, most MmpL5-GFP foci moved incessantly. Their MSDs ranged widely (2.80 × 10^-2 to 9.10 × 10^-2 μm^2) with a mean value of 5.69 × 10^-2 ± 1.84 × 10^-2 μm^2 (Fig. 2A and B, red lines and bars). The diffusion coefficients (D) in the presence and absence of MmpS5 as calculated from these MSD-Δt plots were 5.8 × 10^-4 ± 2.2 × 10^-4 and 3.4 × 10^-3 ± 1.7 × 10^-2 μm^2 s^-1, respectively. We then observed MmpL5-GFP foci in the presence of the BCG_0727 gene (strain YKN80) encoding the hypothetical transcriptional regulator. The data illustrated that the diffusion of MmpL5-GFP is also restricted under the expression of MmpS5 regardless of the presence of the putative transcriptional regulator gene (Movie S3). On the basis of these data, MmpL5-GFP foci were defined as “fixed (immobile)” in the presence of MmpS5 and “mobile” in the absence of MmpS5.

**Fixed MmpL5 forms a homotrimer in the presence of MmpS5.** To ascertain whether fixed MmpL5-GFP foci consist of single or oligomeric molecules, we performed time course analyses of the fluorescence emission from fixed single MmpL5-GFP foci. The filtered intensity-Δt plots calculated using edge detection methods indicated a three-step photobleaching plot (Fig. 3A). The step size of a single GFP molecule was

---

**TABLE 1 Antibiotic susceptibility analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>ΔmmpS5</th>
<th>L5</th>
<th>L5-GFP</th>
<th>SS-L5</th>
<th>SS-L5-GFP</th>
<th>Vector</th>
<th>WT, vector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BDQ</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFZ</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLR</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe integrated vector-encoded wild-type MmpL5 and GFP-fused MmpL5 with or without MmpS5 were expressed in strain NNB001 (ΔmmpS5-L5-BCG_0727-Hyg). Values shown in boldface type represent resistance indicative of significant efflux activity. Abbreviations: BDQ, bedaquiline; CFZ, clofazimine; CLR, clarithromycin.*
equivalent to 2,012 ± 243 (arbitrary units) under these experimental conditions. In the presence of MmpS5, the distribution of intensity value at the first frame peaked at 6,000 to 6,500, which was approximately threefold higher than the intensity of single GFP molecules estimated using photobleaching (Fig. 3B). In the absence of MmpS5, that value peaked at 2,000 with a narrow range. These results indicate that MmpL5-GFP forms a homotrimer in the presence of MmpS5.

Cell wall morphology is not affected by deletion of mmpS5 or mmpL5. We then examined whether the deletion of mmpS5 and mmpL5 influenced cell wall morphology using transmission electron microscopy (TEM). During the logarithmic growth phase, the cell shapes of wild-type and mmpS5- and mmpL5-deleted strains exhibited no significant differences (Fig. 4A). The mean cell wall thickness of wild-type BCG was 13.82 ± 1.29 nm, versus 13.71 ± 1.59 nm in the absence of MmpS5 (Fig. 4B). We also calculated cell wall thickness of strains expressing MmpL5-GFP or MmpS5-MmpL5-GFP in the absence of MmpS5 (Fig. 4B). The mean values were 13.67 ± 1.28 and 13.82 ± 1.07 nm, respectively (P > 0.78). Furthermore, to investigate whether the presence of MmpL5 and/or MmpS5 affects the permeability of the cell wall, we evaluated the accumulation rate of the fluorescent compound ethidium bromide (EtBr) following treatment with the efflux pump inhibitor verapamil by measuring the fluorescence intensity (Fig. 4C). We found no difference in the ratio of fluorescence intensity in each background. These results suggest that the mmpS5 or mmpS5-mmpL5 deletion does not affect cell wall morphology.

DISCUSSION

Some RND-type efflux systems appear to participate in antimicrobial resistance in mycobacteria by discharging harmful environmental compounds (27). In this work, we focused on MmpS5 and MmpL5, which are supposed to be an inner membrane transporter and its cognate membrane fusion protein, to export CFZ and BDQ (18, 28, 29). Because the membrane fusion protein-like protein MmpS5 granted MmpL5 the ability to export substances (26), it has been postulated that MmpS5 may be an essential part of the efflux pump involved in drug transport. However, this had not been demonstrated previously. Using an mmpS5 mmpL5 knockout strain with
complementation of the mmpS5 and/or mmpL5 genes, we demonstrated that coexpression of MmpS5 and MmpL5 is essential for the acquisition of BDQ and CFZ resistance.

Fluorescent imaging with GFP showed that the localization of MmpL5 appeared to be arranged in a punctiform pattern in the presence of MmpS5. In E. coli, the membrane fusion protein AcrA is anchored to the inner membrane via a lipid moiety (30). It is possible that MmpS5, a homolog of AcrA, is associated with specialized microdomains in the inner membrane, such as membrane rafts or those demarcated by specific cytoskeleton-like proteins. Alternatively, a putative outer membrane channel could be confined to some kind of microdomains in the outer membrane.

The dynamics of MmpL5-GFP with or without MmpS5 revealed a substantial difference between these backgrounds in the physical limitation of MmpL5 mobility in the membrane. Deletion of MmpS5 granted MmpL5 mobility in the inner membrane. A previous study indicated that the RND-type transporter AcrB of E. coli, in the absence of the outer membrane channel TolC, exhibited lateral displacement without being trapped in the membrane (31). \( D \) of mobile MmpL5 was consistent with that reported previously for mobile GFP-fused AcrB (\( D_{\text{mean}} = 3.5 \times 10^{-2} \ \mu \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \)). The magnitude of the diffusion of membrane protein depends on the size of the TM domain. Specifically,
The mean diffusion coefficient ($D_{\text{mean}}$) of 4-TM helical proteins was $2.0 \times 10^{-1} \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ compared with $2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ for 12-TM helical proteins (32). These data confirmed that both MmpL5 and AcrB have 12-TM domains and similar molecular masses (molecular mass in kilodaltons [m/kDa] = 104.8 versus 113.6). Only a few studies have assessed the diffusion of Gram-positive bacteria membrane proteins, mainly including studies in *Bacillus subtilis* (33, 34). Mika et al. reported that $D$ of GFP-fused BcaP, which is an amino acid transporter in *Lactococcus lactis*, was $1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mu m^2 s^{-1}$ (35), consistent with that observed for MmpL5 in the present study. The fact that MmpL5-GFP foci are fixed in the presence of MmpS5 indicates that MmpL5 is anchored to a nondiffusing structure, an unknown hypothetical channel-like outer membrane protein, penetrating both the cell wall and the outer membrane (i.e., the mycomembrane) (9). The peptidoglycan layer can be predicted to have an intricate three-dimensional mesh-like framework including arabino-galactan (36). This rigid layer restricts the lateral diffusion of MmpL5 binding to the layer or a penetrated outer membrane protein. Thus, the diffusion of MmpL5 must also be restricted via assembly into a complex in the presence of MmpS5, which leads to the acquisition of drug efflux activity. However, it remains to be elucidated whether MmpL5 is stabilized only through its interaction with MmpS5 or with the help of other factors.

In this study, MmpL5-GFP foci were revealed to be fixed by the expression of MmpS5 in the presence of the BCG_0727 gene, which has an extremely high similarity with Rv0678 of *Mtb* (100% identity at the amino acid level), encoding the conserved
hypothetical MarR-like transcriptional regulator, which downregulates \textit{mmpS5-L5} expression (28, 29). This finding indicates that this regulator does not affect the dynamics of MmpL5 by regulating the expression of some unknown genes.

We examined the three-step photobleaching of fixed MmpL5-GFP foci in the presence of MmpS5. The distribution plot of focus intensity in the first frame revealed that MmpL5 predominantly forms a homotrimer in the presence of MmpS5, whereas it remains a monomer in the absence of MmpS5. MmpL3 of \textit{Mtb}, a paralog of MmpL5, was suggested to be a monomer according to a blue native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE) analysis with purified MmpL3 from cultured \textit{M. smegmatis} harboring an MmpL3-expressing plasmid (23). However, this apparent discrepancy was not surprising as the authors discuss the possibility that the membrane fusion protein-like protein MmpS3, which is missing in their experimental setting, may play a role in trimerization of MmpL3. Thus, we propose that MmpS5 contributes to the ability of MmpL5 to form a fixed active homotrimer in the membrane.

These findings will help understand the drug resistance of the MmpS-MmpL transporting systems in \textit{Mtb} as well as in other mycobacteria. Indeed, recent studies have reported that the orthologs of MmpS5 and MmpL5 of \textit{Mycobacteroides abscessus} are also involved in CFZ and BDQ resistance, which is regulated by transcriptional regulators, such as the MarR-like transcriptional regulator of \textit{Mtb} (37, 38).

\textit{Mycobacterial cell walls have complex structures containing a lipid-rich surface of mycolic acid, glycolipids, and other polymers. Some RND-type pumps, including MmpL3 and MmpL11, are involved in metabolism of these cell wall components (39, 40). However, it is unknown whether MmpS5 and MmpL5 transport cell wall components. The effects of the expression of RND-type pumps on cell morphology and physiology have not been studied. The cell wall thickness in each background of the BCG strain was extremely similar and consistent with that reported for the \textit{Mtb} cell wall (mean thickness = 13.93 nm) (41). The cell envelope structure of mycobacteria is
substantially different from that of Gram-negative bacteria: unlike the outer membrane of the latter, mycobacteria have a mycomembrane comprising a nonconventional lipid bilayer in which the inner leaflet contains very long-chain fatty acids, named mycolic acids, covalently linked to arabinogalactan, a component of the thick peptidoglycan layer. These unique features might render the channel components considerably diverged from those of Gram-negative bacteria, such as TolC of E. coli, and hence elusive from bioinformatic identification. Moreover, the cell wall permeability of EtBr was not affected by the altered expression of MmpS5-L5. Although the membrane efflux transporter P55 of Mtb transports EtBr (42), the calcium channel antagonist verapamil was used to inhibit the EtBr efflux activities of P55 (43, 44). Similar levels of EtBr accumulation in various backgrounds suggest that the differences in the motility of MmpL5 are not attributable to structural changes in the cell wall architecture.

In conclusion, we found that the expression of the membrane fusion protein MmpS5 facilitates the homotrimerization of MmpL5 and restricts its diffusion presumably due to the assembly into a ternary complex with a yet unidentified outer membrane channel component to export anti-Mtb drugs. The summarized model is depicted in Fig. 5. More detailed studies are required to delineate the interaction between MmpS and MmpL and investigate whether MmpS5 anchors the transporter complex to a specific outer membrane protein or directly to the mycomembrane. It is likely that the behavior of MmpL5 in the presence of MmpS5 can also be observed in all cognate MmpS-MmpL pairs in mycobacteria. Therefore, the homotrimerization of MmpL and the anchoring of the MmpL/MmpS complex to the mycomembrane may be promising targets for new anti-Mtb drugs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, plasmids, primers, and culture condition. The bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are described in Table S1 in the supplemental material. M. bovis BCG Pasteur strains were grown at 37°C under shaking conditions in enriched 7H9 medium (Middlebrook 7H9 medium supplemented with 0.2% glycerol, 0.05% Tween 80, and albumin-dextrose-catalase [ADC] enrichment) or on enriched 7H10 agar (Middlebrook 7H10 supplemented with 0.5% glycerol and oleic acid-albumin-dextrose-catalase [OADC] enrichment). Whenever necessary, 50 μg ml⁻¹ kanamycin or 100 μg ml⁻¹ hygromycin was added to the medium for clone selection.

Plasmids. The plasmid vector pNN301 is a pMV361-type E. coli-Mycobacterium shuttle vector that carries the hsp60 promoter, integrase gene, and kanamycin resistance gene. In addition, the vector contains the attP region for integration at the attB site of mycobacterial chromosomal DNA (45, 46).

Construction of plasmids encoding GFP and/or GFP-fused MmpL5. The gfp coding region was amplified by PCR using pDS1050 containing Gly3-GFP to introduce Sall and HpaI sites at their 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively. The resulting fragment was cloned between the Sall and HpaI sites of the pNN301 vector to yield plasmids encoding GFP (pKRB1). For GFP-fused MmpL5 expression, pKRB1 plasmids were used as vectors to clone a PCR-amplified MmpL5 or MmpS5-MmpL5 operon region in-frame in the chromosomal DNA of Mtb H37Rv with EcoRI and Clai sites at its 5’ and 3’ ends, respectively, thereby yielding...
pKRBS29 and pKRBS32, respectively. The wild-type MmpL5-expressing plasmids (pKRBS30 and pKRBS34) were constructed using the same cloning strategy.

**Construction of gene deletion strains.** The allelic exchange strain NN8001 (ΔmmpL5ΔmmpSL5) was constructed using a temperature-sensitive mycobacteriophage method as described previously (46–48). Briefly, the upstream and downstream flanking DNA sequences in the M. bovis BCG genome sequence (GenBank accession number AM408590) were used to delete the BCG_0725c (mmpL5), BCG_0726c (mmpSS), and BCG_0727c genes. To disrupt these three genes, DNA segments from 935 bp upstream through 5 bp downstream of the termination codon of mmpL5 and from 4 bp through 1003 bp downstream of the termination codon of BCG_0727 were used.

The ΔmmpSL5 strain was constructed using the Che9c recombination system with pUV53 plasmids encoding gp60 and gp61, which are responsible for exonuclease and DNA-binding activities, respectively (49). To replace the operon of mmpSS or mmpL5 in the chromosome of M. bovis BCG carrying the selectable hygromycin resistance gene, the DNA fragments were generated by PCR using the pYUB884 plasmid as the template, which is the hygromycin resistance cassette containing 1,000-nucleotide homology extensions, upstream or downstream of the mmpSL5 operon. After selection on enriched 7H10 agar containing 100 μg ml⁻¹ hygromycin, recombinants were purified twice. Replacement of these genes in each chromosome of M. bovis BCG mutants was confirmed by PCR.

**Antibiotic susceptibility analysis.** MICs were determined using a twofold serial dilution method (50, 51). Precultured cells in the mid-logarithmic phase were diluted at an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) of 0.1 with enriched 7H9 medium. One hundred microliters of diluted cells per well were inoculated into clear flat-bottom 96-well plates containing enriched 7H9 medium with the assay compounds in a total volume of 100 μl per well. The assay plates were incubated for 1 week at 37°C under stationary conditions. The MIC for each strain was defined as the lowest concentration of a drug needed to inhibit bacterial growth via visual observation.

**Single-molecule analysis of MmpL5-GFP using TIRF microscopy.** Cells were grown in enriched 7H9 medium under shaking conditions at 37°C to the mid-logarithmic phase. The cultures were diluted by 50-fold using fresh enriched 7H9 medium and incubated at 37°C to the mid-logarithmic phase. Cells were harvested and washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.05% Tween 80 (PBS-T).

Cells were spotted onto a poly-L-lysine-coated coverslip chamber, washed three times with PBS-T, and observed via TIRF microscopy (Olympus IX71, Tokyo, Japan) with a ×100 oil-immersion objective lens (total magnification = ×1,000). The image analysis and tracking of single foci were performed using ImageJ ver. 1.52a software (NIH, MD, USA). These analyses were conducted as described previously (31). In brief, the images were filtered using the rolling ball algorithm to subtract the background intensity. We defined a 350 × 350 nm² region of interest (ROI) centered on a focus in the first frame of the images with the threshold appropriately adjusted (52). MSD was calculated as described in the literature (53). D was also calculated from the values of the averaged MSD±σt plots.

**Photobleaching analysis.** The photobleaching analysis was performed as described in the literature (31). Images were recorded using an exposure time of 33 ms via TIRF microscopy. The fluorescence intensity per frame of an ROI centered on a fluorescent focus was monitored. The edge detection method of nonlinear filtering (window = 10) was used to identify photobleaching steps (54, 55).

**Immunoblotting.** The cells, which were cultured using the same methods described for TIRF observation, were harvested, resuspended in 1 ml of sonication buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol). The precipitates were filtered using the Che9c recombination system using a monoclonal anti-GFP antibody (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) following sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-PAGE with a laboratory-produced 15% acrylamide gel. Immune complexes were detected using horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled anti-mouse IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA) and the Immobilon Western Chemiluminescent HRP Substrate system (Merck Millipore, MA, USA).

**TEM.** The cells, which were cultured using the same methods described for TIRF observation, were harvested and washed with PBS-T. During postfixation, cell samples were treated with 2% glutaraldehyde and 2.5% paraformaldehyde in 30 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) for 2 days at 4°C and stained with 0.2% tannin for 20 min. After depostituration, cells were treated with 1% osmium tetroxide solution containing 0.8% potassium ferrocyanide for 1 h. The cells were dehydrated using a graded ethanol series (50, 70, 80, 90, 95, and 100%) at room temperature, followed by gradual infiltration with Spurr’s resin (Polysciences) over 2 days (41). Ultrathin sections (70-nm thickness) were cut using an ultramicrotome (EM UC 7; Leica, Wetzlar, Germany), mounted on grids, and stained with 4% uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The observations were performed using a transmission electron microscope (HT7700; Hitachi High Technologies, Tokyo, Japan) at 80 kV.

**Cell wall permeability assay.** The EtBr permeability assay was performed using Cell-Immunofluorescence microscopy as described in the literature (56). Cells were grown in enriched 7H9 medium under shaking conditions at 37°C to the mid-logarithmic phase. The cell precipitates were washed twice with PBS-T and incubated with 1 μg ml⁻¹ EtBr containing 100 μg ml⁻¹ verapamil for 60 min at 37°C under stationary conditions. After washing cells twice with PBS-T, one fluorescence intensity was measured using a multimode microplate reader (Nivo 3S; PerkinElmer, MA, USA). Cells were incubated in 96-well black Fluoro plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) containing enriched 7H9 medium with the assay reagents in a volume of 200 μl well⁻¹, and the measurement was performed at different time points for a unit of time for each measurement of 5 min (excitation, 530 nm; emission, 570 nm). All data were normalized to the time zero value of each well and the bacterial cell density.
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